# Staff Summary Report



To: Mayor & City Council Through: City Manager

Agenda Item Number <u>40</u> Meeting Date <u>05/10/01</u>

SUBJECT: CITY OF TEMPE RESOLUTION NO. 2001.17

PREPARED BY: Michael J. Williams, Building Safety Director (480-350-8666)

Nancy Woods, Management Assistant I (480-350-8946)

**REVIEWED BY:** Dave Fackler, Development Services Manager (480-350-8530)

**BRIEF:** This is a public hearing for a resolution to modify the fee schedule for building

permits.

COMMENTS: FEES (MISCELLANEOUS) (0210-05) RESOLUTION NO. 2001.17. This is

a public hearing for a resolution to modify the schedule of fees for building,

mechanical, electrical, and plumbing permits and associated plan review fees.

**Document Name:** (20010510bldgnw01 Supporting Documents: YES

**SUMMARY:** Resolution No. 2000.17 would revise the building permit and plan review fees to

that contained within the 1997 Uniform Building Code. Other jurisdictions such as Cave Creek, Fountain Hills, Goodyear, Maricopa County, Oro Valley, Pima County, Peoria, Surprise, and Tucson have already adopted this building permit and plan review fee schedule. These schedules have not been updated since June

1991. Currently, our fees are based on the 1985 Uniform Building Code

schedule.

FISCAL NOTE: It is estimated that this fee change will generate an additional \$800,000 of

revenue annually.

**RECOMMENDATION:** That Resolution No. 2001.17 be adopted

**ATTACHMENTS:** 1. Memo dated 5/2/01

2. Comparison of Revenue to Valuation Ratios

3. Single Family Residential Impact Fees

4. Resolution No. 2001.17

# Memorandum



# Development Services Department Building Safety Division

DATE:

May 2, 2001

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Dave Fackler, Development Services Manager

Michael J. Williams, Building Safety Director

**SUBJECT:** 

Development Services Building Safety Division Fee Schedule

As indicated during the budget process, the city should consider modifying its building permit, plan review and related fees schedules. These schedules have not been updated since June 1991. Currently, our fees are based on the 1985 Uniform Building Code schedule.

Resolution No. 2000.17 would revise the building permit and plan review fees to that contained within the 1997 Uniform Building Code. Other jurisdictions such as Cave Creek, Fountain Hills, Goodyear, Maricopa County, Oro Valley, Pima County, Peoria, Surprise, and Tucson have already adopted this building permit and plan review fee schedule. This proposed resolution would also reduce our current Construction Valuation adjustment factor from .92 to .82, which is the current adjustment factor for Arizona as published by the International Conference of Building Officials (ICBO). This is the same adjustment factor used by the other jurisdictions that have adopted the 1997 UBC fee schedules.

This ordinance would also adjust the Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing permit fees to be more in line with other communities.

In order to provide a comparison with other cities, a revenue/valuation ratio was prepared for fiscal year 1999/2000. Tempe presently ranks 6th out of 8 in this comparison. It should be noted that several of the other valley jurisdictions are also preparing to propose increased building permit and associated fees in the next few months. If a comparison were made after these increases, we would maintain our ranking within the middle of the range.

It should be noted that most of the other jurisdictions also collect substantial impact fees as a part of their permit issuance process, while Tempe's are minimal. A matrix of the current impact fees has been provided.

Staff has discussed the proposed fee modifications with the Multihousing Association, the Home Builders Association, and the Valley Partnership. These organizations have stated that they will not oppose the proposed fee modifications.

Memo to Mayor and City Council

RE: Development Services Building Safety Division Fee Schedule

It should be noted that we have not increased permit or plan review fees in 10 years and we are attempting to adopt the same schedule that other jurisdictions that have already adopted, the 1997 UBC fees schedule.

We are also planning to increase our services to our DSD customers. One proposal is to hire an ADA plan reviewer/inspector to perform quality review for accessibility including Fair Housing guidelines. We are also proposing to change some of our temporary positions in the DSD to permanent positions to reduce employee turnover and training requirements. This will help us increase our customer services as well.

The revenue projection for the Building Safety Division in FY 00/01 is \$2,210,318 while its operating cost is estimated to be \$2,800,000. The division's revenue projections for FY 01/02 and 02/03, considering this fee increase and no growth in construction activity, is \$2,982,850. The Development Services Department as a whole does not generate enough revenue to cover operating costs. For example, in FY 99/00 the department's total revenue was \$2,957,554 while operating expenses were \$6,029,223.

A committee has been formed in the valley to develop a common method of assessing Building Permit and Plan review fees. Tempe intends to be active in these discussions to develop a more uniform fee assessment methodology across the valley. It is recommended that Tempe review its fee schedules every three years.

# COMPARISON OF REVENUE TO VALUATION RATIOS

## 1999-00 ACTUAL

HIDISDICTION	CONSTRUCTION	DEVENUE	REVENUE/\$1,000 VALUATION
JURISDICTION	VALUATION	REVENUE	VALUATION
GOODYEAR	210,042,931	2,897,267	13.79
SCOTTSDALE	1,034,511,305	14,083,733	13.61
TUCSON	593,807,929	6,513,767	10.97
PEORIA	526,669,833	5,006,283	9.51
PHOENIX	2,093,264,649	17,089,754	8.16
ТЕМРЕ	317,142,700	2,324,231	7.33
MESA	1,136,442,471	7,875,621	6.93
GLENDALE	280,082,941	1,196,617	4.27

# SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL IMPACT FEES

City / County         Date         Resources         System         Treatment         Sewer         Streets         Police           Avondale         Dec-98         750         750         - 1,900         400         145           Avondale         Jan-00         373         1,312         1,091         - 1,455         190           Chandler         Jan-99         235         1,111         2,314         - 86         47           Glendale         Dec-00         - 1,566         - 1,884         542         289           Goodyear         Jan-99         - 901         1,020         - 150         148         123           Mesa         Nov-98         - 901         1,020         - 4,052         182           Peoria         Jan-00         473         3,379         2,320         - 4,052         182           Phoenix         May-00         - 2,324         1,285         - 2,236         111           Queen Creek         Oct-99         - 0         4,010         - 1,650         - 1,650         - 185           Scottsdale         Jul-00         1,050         4,010         - 1,650         - 1,650         - 1,650		Effective	Water	Water	Wastewater						Parks &		
Dec-98         750         750         -         1,900         400           Jan-00         373         1,312         1,091         -         1,455           May-99         235         1,111         2,314         -         86           Dec-00         -         1,566         -         1,884         542           Jan-99         -         -         -         1,884         542           Nov-98         -         901         1,020         -         -           Jan-00         473         3,379         2,320         -         4,052           ek         Oct-99         -         2,324         1,285         -         2,236           Jul-00         1,050         4,010         -         2,968         -         -		Date	Resources	System	Treatment	Sewer	Streets	Police	Fire	Library	Trails	Other	Total
Jan-00       373       1,312       1,091       -       1,455         May-99       235       1,111       2,314       -       86         Dec-00       -       1,566       -       1,884       542         Jan-99       -       -       -       150       148         Nov-98       -       901       1,020       -       -         Jan-00       473       3,379       2,320       -       4,052         ek       Oct-99       -       2,324       1,285       -       2,236         ek       Oct-99       -       -       1,650       1,029       -       -         Jul-00       1,050       4,010       -       2,968       -       -	Avondale	Dec-98	750	750		1,900	400	145	250	300	300	700	5,495
May-99         235         1,111         2,314         -         86           Dec-00         -         1,566         -         1,884         542           Jan-99         -         -         -         1,566         -         148           Nov-98         -         901         1,020         -         -         -           Jan-00         473         3,379         2,320         -         4,052           ek         Oct-99         -         2,324         1,285         -         2,236           ek         Oct-99         -         -         1,650         1,029         -         -           Jul-00         1,050         4,010         -         2,968         -         -	Shandler	Jan-00	373	1,312	1,091		1,455	190	119	25	584	428	5,604
Dec-00         -         1,566         -         1,884         542           Jan-99         -         -         -         150         148           Nov-98         -         901         1,020         -         -           Jan-00         473         3,379         2,320         -         4,052           ek         Oct-99         -         2,324         1,285         -         2,236           ek         Oct-99         -         -         1,650         1,029         -           Jul-00         1,050         4,010         -         2,968         -	Silbert	May-99	235	1,111	2,314		98	47	127	1	705	178	4,803
Jan-99       -       -       -       150       148         Nov-98       -       901       1,020       -       -       -         Jan-00       473       3,379       2,320       -       4,052         May-00       -       2,324       1,285       -       2,236         ek       Oct-99       -       -       1,650       1,029       -         Jul-00       1,050       4,010       -       2,968       -	Slendale	Dec-00		1,566	1	1,884	542	289	311	452	1,094	924	7,062
Nov-98         -         901         1,020         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <t< td=""><td>Soodvear</td><td>Jan-99</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>150</td><td>148</td><td>123</td><td>211</td><td>1</td><td>57</td><td>118</td><td>807</td></t<>	Soodvear	Jan-99	1		1	150	148	123	211	1	57	118	807
Jan-00       473       3,379       2,320       -       4,052         May-00       -       2,324       1,285       -       2,236         ek       Oct-99       -       -       1,650       1,029       -         Jul-00       1,050       4,010       -       2,968       -	Vesa	Nov-98	-	901	1,020			104	108	322	559	59	3,073
May-00     -     2,324     1,285     -     2,236       ek     Oct-99     -     -     1,650     1,029     -       Jul-00     1,050     4,010     -     2,968     -	Peoria	Jan-00	473	3,379	2,320	1	4,052	182	168	281	1,508	927	13,290
ek Oct-99 1,650 1,029 1010-00 1,050 4,010 - 2,968 -	hoenix	May-00	ı	2,324	1,285	-	2,236	111	78	252	1,868	202	8,661
Jul-00 1,050 4,010 -	Queen Creek	Oct-99	Ē	1	1,650	1,029	1	185	ŧ	616	3,229	009	7,309
	Scottsdale	Jul-00	1,050	4,010	1	2,968	ı	•	ı	1	1	1	8,028
Surprise Jul-00 824 1,770 - 2,236 - 137	Surprise	Jul-00	824	1,770	1	2,236	ı	137	308	1	1,356	878	7,509

### **RESOLUTION NO. 2001.17**

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE, ARIZONA, AMENDING THE SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR BUILDINGS AND BUILDING REGULATIONS.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE, ARIZONA, that the schedule of fees and charges for permit fees be amended as follows:

### **BUILDINGS AND BUILDING REGULATIONS**

### Appeal Filing Fees

8-223	Filing fee for appealing a decision of the development services director applying to the technical provisions of the building code or to an alternate material or method of construction.
	Each variance for a single building or the same variance for multiple buildings or the same lot, <b>INCLUDING HISTORIC BUILDINGS</b>
	Appeal from a determination of the development services director
	Approval of alternate materials or methods of construction
8-243	Filing fee for appealing a decision of the development services director applying to the technical provisions of the electrical code or to an alternate material or method of construction.
	Each variance for a single building or the same variance for multiple buildings or the same lot, INCLUDING HISTORIC BUILDINGS \$75.00 100.00
	Appeal from a determination of the development services director \$75.00 100.00
	Approval of alternate materials or methods

of construction........\$150.00 200.00

8-278 Filing fee for appealing a decision of the development services director applying to the technical provisions of the plumbing and mechanical code or to an alternate material or method of construction.

Each variance for a single building or the same variance for multiple buildings or the same lot, INCLUDING HISTORIC BUILDINGS	\$ <del>75.00</del> <b>100.00</b>
Appeal from a determination of the development services director	\$ <del>75.00</del> <b>100.00</b>
Approval of alternate materials or methods of construction	\$150.00 200.00

FOR ISSUING EACH PERMIT	\$10.00
IN ADDITION, FOR: TOTAL VALUATION	FEE
\$1.00 to \$500.00	\$ <del>15.00</del> <b>23.50</b>
\$501.00 to \$2,000.00	\$15.00 23.50 for the first \$500.00 plus \$2.00 3.05 for each additional \$100.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$2,000.00
\$2,001.00 to \$25,000.00	\$45.00 <b>69.25</b> for the first \$2,000.00 plus \$9.00 <b>14.00</b> for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$25,000.00
\$25,001.00 to \$50,000.00	\$252.00 391.75 for the first \$25,000.00 plus \$6.50 10.10 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$50,000.00
\$50,001.00 to \$100,000.00	\$414.50 <b>643.75</b> for the first \$50,000.00 plus \$4.50 <b>7.00</b> for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$100,000.00
\$100,001.00 to \$500,000.00	\$639.50 993.75 for the first \$100,000.00 plus \$3.50 5.60 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000.00
\$500,001.00 to \$1,000,000.00	\$2,039.50 3,233.75 for the first \$500,000.00 plus \$3.00 4.75 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000.00
\$1,000,001.00 and up	\$3,539.50 <b>5,608.75</b> for the first \$1,000,000.00 plus \$2.50 <b>3.65</b> for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof
Other Inspections and Fees:	
Inspections outside of normal business is (minimum charge - two hours)	hours:\$60.00 per hour
2. Reinspection fees assessed under provis	sions of § 108.8:\$45.00 per hour.
3. Inspections for which no fee is specification (minimum charge: one-half hour)	ally indicated:\$45.00 per hour
4. Additional plan review required by char revisions to approved plans:	nges, additions or \$30.00 <b>60.00</b> per hour

**NOTE:** Permit fees are assessed by valuation per the most current data published by ICBO applying the current regional modifier for Arizona.

(minimum charge - one-half hour)

# **Excavating and Grading**

# 8-300

# Table No. 33-A—Grading Plan Review Fees

[Table No. 33-A	is	hereby	amended	as	follows]
-----------------	----	--------	---------	----	----------

[Table No. 33-A is nereby amended as follows]
50 cubic yards or less
100,001 to 200,000 cubic yards
200,001 cubic yards or more\$\frac{170.00}{225.00}\$ for the first 200,000 cubic yards plus \$\frac{3.00}{3.00}\$ 4.50 for each additional 10,000 cubic yards or fraction thereof.
Other Fees:
Additional plan review required by changes, additions or revisions to approved plans (minimum charge - one-half hour)
Table No. 33-B—Grading Permit Fees
[Table No. 33-B is hereby amended as follows:]
50 cubic yards or less
101 to 1000 cubic yards
1001 to 10,000 cubic yards
10,001 to 100,000 cubic yards
100,001 cubic yards or more\$375 562.50 for the first 100,000 cubic yards plus \$15 22.50 for each additional 10,000 cubic yards or fraction thereof.

### Other Inspections and Fees:

- 3. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum charge—one-half hour) ....... \$15.00 30.00 per hour

The fee for a grading permit authorizing additional work to that under a valid permit shall be the difference between the fee paid for the original permit and the fee shown for the entire project.

### 8-426

### Table No. 3-A — Electrical Permit Fees

[Section 304.1 is hereby amended as follows]

For issuing each permit\$\\\\\$\\\\$\\\\$\\\\$\\\\$\\\\$\\\\$\\\
In Addition, for
Each service entrance 0 - 200 amps       7.00 9.00         201 - 1000 amps       11.00 14.00         Over 1000 amps       22.00 30.00
Each branch circuit or feeder
Addition to branch circuit or feeder
Construction service
Temporary Use
Annual permit
Other Inspections and Fees:
1. Inspections outside of normal business hours (per hour)\$60.00 (minimum charge-two hour)
2. Reinspection fees assessed under provisions of § 305.5 (per hour)\$45.00
3. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (per hour)\$45.00 (minimum charge - one-half hour)

# [Table No. 3-A is hereby amended as follows:]

For issuance of each permit\$10.00
In addition, for:
Installation, Relocation or Replacement of Furnace or burner (forced air or gravity type), including attached ducts and vents:
Up to and including 100,000 Btu/h or 29 KW9.00 11.00
Over 100,000 Btu/h or 29 KW
Floor furnace, including vent
Heater (suspended, recessed wall, or floor-mounted unit heaters)
Refrigeration and Heat Pump:
To and including 3 H.P.  (or Tons Refrigeration)
Over 3 - to and including 15 H.P.  (or Tons Refrigeration)
Over 15 - to and including 30 H.P.  (or Tons Refrigeration)
Over 30 - to and including 50 H.P.  (or Tons Refrigeration)
Over 50 H.P. (or Tons Refrigeration)56.00 70.00
Absorption System:
To and including 100,000 Btu/h or 29 KW9.00 11.00
Over 100,000 or 29 KW to and including 500,000 Btu/h or 146KW
Over 500,000 or 146 KW to and including 1,000,000 Btu/h or 292 KW

Over 1,000,000 or 292 KW to and including 1,750,000 Btu/h or 512 KW	.33.50 40.00
Over 1,750,000 Btu/h or 512 KW	56.00 70.00
Air Handling Unit, including attached ducts:	
To and including 10,000 c.f.m.	6.50 8.00
Over 10,000 c.f.m.	11.00 14.00
<b>NOTE</b> : Fee shall not apply to an air handling unit which is a portion of a factor appliance, comfort cooling unit, evaporative cooler or absorption unit for which required elsewhere in this schedule.	ory assembled ch a fee is
Evaporative Cooler (other than portable)	<u>6.50</u> <b>8.00</b>
Ventilation fan (connected to a single duct)	4 <del>.50</del> <b>6.00</b>
Ventilation System	<u>6.50</u> <b>8.00</b>
<b>NOTE:</b> Fee shall not apply to a ventilation system which is a portion of a fact heating or air-conditioning system for which a fee is required elsewhere in this	tory assembled s schedule.
Appliance Vent	4.50 6.00
<b>NOTE:</b> Fee shall not apply to an appliance vent which is a portion of a factor appliance for which a fee is required elsewhere in this schedule.	y assembled
Hood served by mechanical exhaust including ducts	6.50 <b>8.00</b>
Incinerator:	
Domestic	11.00 14.00
Commercial or Industrial	45.00 56.00
Appliance or piece of equipment regulated by the Mechanical Code, but not classed in other appliance categories or for which no other fee is listed in this schedule	<u>6.50</u> <b>8.</b> 00
Process Piping:	
Hazardous process piping system:	
One to four outlets	4.00 5.00
Five or more outlets, per outlet  Nonhazardous process piping system:	1.00 2.00
One to four outlets	2.00 3.00

	Five or more outlets, per outlet	0.50 1.00
	Repair of, Alteration of, or Addition to:	
	Heating appliance, refrigeration unit, comfort cooling unit, absorption unit or comfort heating, cooling absorption or evaporative cooling system, including controls	9 <u>.00</u> 11.00
•	Other Inspections and Fees:	
	1. Inspections outside of normal business hours (per hour) (minimum charge - two hours)	60.00
	2. Reinspection fees assessed under provisions of Section 305(f) (per hour)	45.00
	3. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (per hour) (minimum charge - one-half hour)	45.00
8-744(b)	Table No. 3-A — Plumbing Permit Fees	
	Schedule of Fees	
	For Issuing Each Permit	\$10.00
	In Addition, for:	
	Each plumbing fixture or trap or set of fixtures on one trap (including water, drainage piping and backflow protection therefor)	4.50 6.00
	Each building sewer and each mobile home or trailer space sewer	11.00 14.00
	Rainwater system - per drain (inside building)	4.50 6.00
	Each cesspool 11.00	
	Each private sewage disposal system	22.50 28.00
	Each water heater and/or vent	4.50 6.00
	Each gas piping system of one to four outlets	4.50 6.00
	Each gas piping system of five or more, per outlet	1.00 2.00
	Each industrial waste pretreatment interceptor, including its trap and vent, excepting kitchen-type grease interceptors functioning as fixture traps.	4 <u>.50</u> <b>6.00</b>
	Installation, alteration or repair of water piping and/or water treating equipment	4 <u>.50</u> <b>6.00</b>

	Repair or alteration of drainage	or vent piping	4.50 6.00
	Each lawn sprinkler system on a protection devices therefo	any one meter, including backflow	4.50 6.00
	Five or more, each Each backflow protective device 2 inch diameter and small Over 2 inch diameter  Other Inspections and Fees:  1. Inspections outside of nor (minimum charge - two h	e other than atmospheric-type vacuum breder	
	SSED AND ADOPTED BY A, this day of _	THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY	OF TEMPE,
ATTEST:		Mayor	
City Clerk			
APPROV	ED AS TO FORM:		
City Attor	ney	-	
Developn	ent Services Director	-	